
Authority: Aylesbury Vale Urban Comparator: Milton Keynes

Changes to funding attributable to Rural Services Technical Adjustments - Pre and Post Damping



Aylesbury Vale Exemplifications Data

Percentage change arising from Rural Services Adjustment	10.42%	4.04%	
2012/13 Funding with Adjustment	8,729,327	8,413,874	
Impact of Rural Services Adjustment	823,788	326,791	-60%
2012/13 Funding	7,905,539	8,087,083	
	Pre Damping	Post Damping	Difference

The graph and table show that Aylesbury Vale would have had a predamping 10.42% increase in funding if the rural services adjustment had been implemented in 2012/13. However, damping would have reduced that gain arising from the rural service technical adjustment to 4.04%. Consequently, damping reduces the impact of the rural services technical adjustment by 60% for Aylesbury Vale.

Impact of the changes attributable to Rural Services Technical Adjustments on Funding - Pre and Post Damping




The impact of the **positive** rural services technical adjustment and the subsequent **negative** impact of damping can be seen in this graph.

The pre-damping impact for Aylesbury Vale is a funding gain of £4.68 per head. For this reason, we feel that it is important that Aylesbury Vale supports the rural services technical adjustment in the consultation.

However, the post-damping impact for Aylesbury Vale is a much reduced funding gain of £1.86 per head. For this reason, SPARSE Rural have called on the Government to find a way of ensuring that a greater proportion of the pre-damping gains arising from the rural services technical adjustment are realised as cash funding.

Impact of the changes attributable to Rural Services Technical Adjustments on Funding - Comparison with Nearest Urban Authority - post damping



Comparison of Impact of Technical Changes - Aylesbury Vale v Milton Keynes

To provide a comparison, in two tier areas, County and District funding has been added together. So, in order to be comparable with Milton Keynes which is a unitary authority Aylesbury Vale's funding per head has been added to that of Buckinghamshire County Council.

In 2012/13, Aylesbury Vale received £169.24 less funding per head than Milton Keynes.

Prior to damping, the gains attributable to the rural services technical adjustment would have resulted in a closing of the gap between Milton Keynes and Aylesbury Vale to £206.31 per head. This is a significant improvement for rural areas and SPARSE Rural has welcomed the changes in the recent Business Rates consultation.

As shown above, the damping block significantly reduces the gains arising from the rural services technical adjustment. After damping the gap in funding between Milton Keynes and Aylesbury Vale increases to £166.91 per head.